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The normalized microwave reflection index (NMRI) is a measure of multipath scattering calculated daily from continuously operating GPS sites. GPS satellites transmit L-band microwave signals, and thus NMRI is sensitive to the amount of water in vegetation, not plant greenness or dry biomass. The sensing footprint is approximately 1000 m², although reflections from a distance of hundreds of metres are important at some sites. NMRI exhibits clear seasonal variations that are linked to the changes in vegetation water content that accompany plant growth and senescence. In this paper, NMRI and the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) are compared for the period 2008–2012. NMRI data are derived from 184 GPS sites in the western USA. NDVI data are from the 250 m, 16-day pixel containing each GPS station. Amplitude of the annual growth cycle and correlation between NMRI and NDVI are estimated, with and without lags. Phenology metrics are calculated from both indices (i.e. the start of the growing season, timing of peak growth, and season length).

NMRI and NDVI are correlated at most sites, but the degree of correlation varies regionally. Correlation is lowest in California and coastal regions ($R = 0.25$), where NDVI increases earlier in the spring than NMRI. It is highest for mountain and prairie sites ($R = 0.66$ and $0.73$, respectively). Allowing for a lag between NMRI and NDVI greatly increases the correlation. The lag that yields the greatest correlation is nearly 30 days for the California sites ($R = 0.71$ with lag), but only 10 days for mountain and prairie sites ($R = 0.78$ and $0.85$ with lag). There are clear differences in phenology metrics extracted from NMRI and NDVI that are consistent with the correlation-lag analysis. Using NMRI, there is a later start to the season, later peak day of the year, and shorter season length. The greatest differences are in California where NDVI start of the season is nearly 60 days earlier than that calculated from NMRI. These data suggest that green-up precedes increases in vegetation water content, with the duration of offset varying regionally. This study is the first to compare GPS-derived microwave reflectance data with NDVI at multiple sites, and highlights both opportunities and limitations offered by NMRI data.

1. Introduction

Vegetation state measurements are necessary for monitoring the phenology of ecosystem variables (Rondeaux, Steven, and Baret 1996; White et al. 2009; Jones et al. 2011), validating long-term land-cover satellite estimates (Lu 2006; Hobbs, Yates, and Mooney 2007), and testing climate change and carbon cycle models (Cihlar, St. Laurent, and Dyer 1991; Sellers et al. 1992; Paruelo et al. 1993; Sellers et al. 1995; Nemani et al. 2003). With increasing temperatures and amplified drought conditions expected in the long term (Karl et al. 2012), it is necessary to understand how water is used by vegetation before
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characterizing climatic and soil–water interactions at regional and global areas (Burke et al. 1991; Paruelo and Lauenroth 1995; Rodriguez-Iturbe 2000).

Phenology, the study of the timing of biological events, integrates climate–biosphere relationships and is used to evaluate the effects of climate change (Schwartz, Ahas, and Aasa 2006; Cleland et al. 2007). Understanding the timing, rate, and duration of vegetation growth is key in the study of global change and the carbon cycle. The timing of vegetation growth controls photosynthesis, carbon sequestration, and land–atmosphere water and energy exchange (Penuelas, Rutishauser, and Filella 2009; Morisette et al. 2009; Jones et al. 2011). Variations in seasonal onset of vegetation growth have been documented at both the in situ measurement scale (Wolfe et al. 2005) and the global satellite-derived scale (Karl et al. 2012). With recent climate change, phenologists have noted earlier spring onsets and delays in the end of growing seasons (Parmesan 2007; Penuelas, Rutishauser, and Filella 2009). In order to more fully characterize vegetation and how it is changing, we need to develop new methods to derive phenology metrics at appropriate spatial and temporal scales.

The normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), one of the most widely used vegetation remote sensing methods, is calculated as the difference between the near-infrared (NIR) and red portion of visible (VIS) reflectance values normalized over the sum of the two (Eidenshink 1992):

$$\text{NDVI} = \frac{(\text{NIR} - \text{VIS})}{(\text{NIR} + \text{VIS})}$$ (1)

Healthy green leaves have an internal mesophyll structure that reflects NIR radiation and also contains leaf chlorophyll to absorb red visible radiation (Wang, Rich, and Price 2003). This makes NDVI a good indicator of the ability of plant matter to absorb photosynthetically active radiation, therefore NDVI is often used to estimate green biomass or phytomass (Gamon et al. 1995; Al-Bakri and Taylor 2003; Holm 2003). NDVI is also used to estimate other vegetation properties, including leaf area index (Burke et al. 1991; Goetz 1997), evapotranspiration (Cihlar, St. Laurent, and Dyer 1991), and primary productivity (Box, Holben, and Kalb 1989; Rodriguez-Iturbe 2000; Paruelo et al. 1997). For over 30 years, NDVI has been used to monitor the phenological state of vegetation from landscape to global scales (Tarpley, Schneider, and Money 1984; Running and Nemani 1988).

While NDVI is useful for documenting green-up and seasonal fluctuations in vegetation activity, it has a variety of shortcomings, including: problems with background effects from soil (Paruelo et al. 1997; Montandon and Small 2008), atmospheric effects (Rondeaux, Steven, and Baret 1996; Myneni and Williams 1994), smoke and aerosol contamination (Jones et al. 2011), cloud cover (Champion and Guyot 1993), complex terrain (Box, Holben, and Kalb 1989), weather (Brakke et al. 1981), and interruption of signals at high latitudes (Box, Holben, and Kalb 1989). Standard NDVI products derived from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) and other satellites have a repeat frequency of 8 or 16 days. Thus, accurate derivation of phenology metrics, such as vegetation start of season (SOS), suffers from the coarse time-step of NDVI (Fischer 1994). Additionally, NDVI has limited sensitivity to drought conditions due to its spatial compositing procedure, which biases the high end of its spectral signature (Burke et al. 1991).

Other spectral vegetation indices such as soil-adjusted vegetation indices (SAVI) include soil-line parameters (Rondeaux, Steven, and Baret 1996). Compared with
NDVI, SAVI considerably reduces influences from soil and surface roughness resulting in a lowered vegetation index signal (Huete 1988). Although SAVI reduces soil effects, it still yields imprecise vegetation estimates, particularly when there is limited vegetation cover (Rondeaux, Steven, and Baret 1996). SAVI is not as commonly used as NDVI. The normalized difference water index (NDWI), another optical remote-sensing method, utilizes a water absorption band at 1.24 μm. NDWI measures interactions between liquid water molecules in vegetation canopies and incoming solar radiation (Chen, Huang, and Jackson 2005). However, NDWI is not a better predictor of vegetation water content than NDVI, especially at sites with soil background reflectance effects (Gao 1996; Serrano, Filella, and Penuelas 2000; Chen, Huang, and Jackson 2005). Therefore, only NDVI will be addressed further in this paper.

Remote sensing with microwave radar has advantages in that it is not limited by cloud cover, weather, or low solar illumination (Ulaby and Wilson 1985). Passive microwave data have been used to monitor plant phenology (e.g. Jones et al. 2011). Active microwave data may also be used to accurately determine vegetation water content and estimate biomass (Lu 2006; Kim et al. 2012). Since the dielectric constant for water is an order of magnitude greater than for dry vegetation at microwave wavelengths (Schmugge 1978), changes in moisture content of vegetation can result in significant changes in microwave scattering coefficients (Brakke et al. 1981). Primary challenges when using microwave data for vegetation studies are integrating heterogeneous plant dielectric properties through the canopy layer (O’Neill et al. 1984) and removing the effects of soil moisture and surface roughness (De Roo et al. 2001; Ulaby and Wilson 1985; Ulaby et al. 1984).

Global positioning system interferometric reflectometry (GPS-IR) is a bistatic, microwave radar remote-sensing technique. Previously it has shown potential for monitoring vegetation height and water content (Small, Larson, and Braun 2010). GPS satellites transmit at L-band (microwave bands at 1.22760 and 1.57542 GHz), which is similar to those used in active microwave radar applications. Due to the fact that microwave L-band signals attenuate water (Ulaby, Moore, and Fung 1981), one would expect GPS-IR to correlate with vegetation water content. Initial validation with field measurements has shown this to be the case (Small, Larson, and Braun 2010; Small, Larson, and Smith 2014). The GPS data are complementary to NDVI products as both respond to seasonal vegetation properties based on vegetation growth, water uptake, and photosynthetic activity.

GPS-IR is sensitive to surface environmental conditions due to reflection effects of the surface and signal propagation delays. Although designed to minimize reflection effects, geodetic GPS instruments can be used for GPS-IR (Larson et al. 2008). Using geodetic instruments means that thousands of the GPS receivers operating around the world are potentially usable for phenology studies. In this study, GPS instruments operated by the EarthScope Plate Boundary Observatory (PBO) are used (Larson and Small 2014). PBO sites are located in the western USA to measure deformation of the Pacific–North America plate boundary. For this reason the highest density of PBO sites is in California. Vegetation types at PBO sites are dominantly grass, woody savanna, and shrub. In this paper we use the normalized microwave reflection index (NMRI), a measure of the intensity of GPS reflections, derived from standard GPS data (Larson and Small 2014).

NMRI, and GPS-IR data in general, offer two unique benefits that are not provided by other techniques used for remote sensing of vegetation. First, the sensing footprint is intermediate between that of space-based remotely sensed products (~0.1 km² and larger) and in situ observations (e.g. clipping and drying, ~1 m²). The GPS sensing footprint is ~1000 m² at flat sites with an antenna height of 2 m (normal for the PBO network).
Topographic variations can yield reflections from ‘hotspots’ as far as ~300 m from the antenna, so the sensing footprint is somewhat site dependent (Larson and Small 2014). The second benefit is that GPS instruments can provide daily estimates of vegetation status, which is more frequent than that provided by other sensors. The primary drawback of GPS-IR is that it can only be used where instruments have been deployed.

NMRI has been compared to vegetation parameters measured at agricultural and natural grassland sites and a small number of comparisons between NMRI and NDVI have been described (Small, Larson, and Smith 2014). NMRI has also been compared to vegetation optical depth derived from passive microwave data (Jones et al. 2013). The purpose of this study is to compare NMRI and NDVI records at hundreds of sites across the western USA. The vegetation at these sites is typical of the non-forested ecosystems in the region. Climate varies greatly across the sites, depending upon latitude, elevation, and proximity to the coast. We derive NMRI and NDVI amplitude of the annual growth cycle, correlation between NMRI and NDVI signals, and phenology parameters. Phenology metrics include SOS day of the year, season length, and peak day of the year of vegetation growth. Comparisons are completed at both the site level and the regional scale.

2. Data and methods

2.1. GPS field locations

We selected 184 sites from the PBO Network in the western USA (Figure 1). We picked sites in non-urbanized areas that were surrounded by the following land cover types: grass, shrub, savanna, or sparse vegetation, according to the MODIS MCD12Q1 500 m land cover product using the IGBP classification scheme (Loveland et al. 2000). PBO GPS installations are not located beneath forest canopies, as trees degrade estimates of position. However, GPS sites are located in forested regions, with the antenna located in clearings ~100 m in diameter or larger. We attempted to exclude sites of this variety because NMRI and NDVI could potentially be sensing different vegetation: NMRI would be sensitive to the vegetation in the clearing, whereas NDVI would include effects from the surrounding forest. A subset of the sites we selected was affected by this problem (Section 4).

2.2. Data acquisition

2.2.1. NMRI

The default sampling interval for PBO sites is 15 seconds, and there are more than 30 GPS satellites transmitting continuously in ~12-hour orbits. In order to summarize the quality of the ranging observations, an average measurement noise statistic is recorded from each GPS site every day. These noise values are then normalized to remove first-order terrain effects. A detailed derivation of NMRI can be found in Larson and Small (2014).

2.2.2. NDVI

We use NDVI data from NASA’s MODIS, MOD13Q1, 250 m pixel, 16-day composite products (NASA; http://modis-land.gsfc.nasa.gov/vi.html). Each NDVI data point is a composite created using the constrained view angle maximum value composite (CV-MVC) technique (Solano et al. 2010). This technique is frequently performed on NDVI data to reduce both cloud contamination and data volume (Swets et al. 1999). Using the CV-MVC technique, the most representative NDVI values with the smallest view angle
are selected for each pixel over 16-day periods (Solano et al. 2010). For this study, we use NDVI data from the pixel that contains each GPS station.

2.2.3. Precipitation and temperature

Mean annual precipitation (MAP, mm) and mean annual temperature (MAT, °C) are calculated from hourly North America Land Data Assimilation System (NLDAS-2) modelled data at each site (Mitchell et al. 2003). Daily maximum, minimum, and average values are computed for each of these quantities. These data are interpolated to have 12 km spatial resolution (NLDAS; http://ldas.gsfc.nasa.gov/nldas/NLDAS2model.php).

2.3. Data cleaning

NDVI is minimally edited. Negative NDVI values and data on days that NLDAS data record snow events are removed. NLDAS snow events are identified when the temperature falls below 1°C and there is more than 2 mm of daily precipitation. Examples of NDVI time series are presented for site p041 in Colorado and for site p208 in California.
Figures 2(a) and (e)). NMRI data are removed on days when NLDAS indicates snow. In addition, NMRI data are removed on days when NLDAS indicates rainfall in excess of 10 mm (Figures 2(b) and (f)). We have found that rainfall of this magnitude yields NMRI outliers on the day of rainfall, most likely due to changes in reflectivity with precipitation (Larson and Small 2014). Remaining outliers are removed using a centred, five-day median filter (Figures 2(c) and (g)). Gaps are filled via linear interpolation for both NDVI (Figures 2(a) and (e)) and NMRI (Figures 2(d) and (h)). Phenology metrics are calculated using the gap-filled data.

2.4. Amplitude, correlation, and lag metric derivation

After NMRI and NDVI data cleaning, three metrics are derived on a site-by-site basis: amplitude of the annual growth cycle, correlation between NMRI and NDVI data, and correlation lag. We calculate amplitude for NMRI and NDVI for each site as the difference of the 95th and 5th percentile data values. This calculation is completed for each water-year between 2008 and 2012 (Figures 2(b) and (f), dashed-dotted lines show 95th and 5th percentiles). Each water-year is from day of year (doy) 275 of the previous year to doy 274 of the current year. One NMRI and NDVI amplitude value is calculated for each site by averaging the values from the five water-years.

Correlation values are found by extracting NMRI data on days that also have NDVI data, and computing a standard R correlation coefficient value or Pearson correlation. p-values are also presented. If the p-value is less than 0.05, then the R correlation is considered significant. We do not use gap-filled time series (e.g. Figures 2(a) and (c)) for this analysis. To take into account the lag between NMRI and NDVI signals, NMRI points are extracted for days that have NDVI data plus a specified lag value (in days). R correlation was computed for each lag amount. Lag was tested from zero to 45 days to determine the amount of lag necessary for maximum correlation. We found that 180 sites had positive lag days; four sites had zero or negative lag days.

2.5. NMRI and NDVI seasonality metric derivation

Cleaned, gap-filled daily NMRI and NDVI time series were used to compute phenology metrics (e.g. Figures 2(a) and (e)). The NDVI data are only available as a 16-day composite, with anything between one and 31 days between sample points. Likewise, there were gaps in the daily NMRI data due to snow cover or rainfall. In order to overcome these problems and produce directly comparable data, the same method was used for both NMRI and NDVI phenology metrics. Two examples are shown in Figure 2: site p208 in California, which has a large amplitude and low noise, and site p041 in Colorado, which has a smaller amplitude and noisier signal.

To ensure that linear interpolation of NDVI did not impact the comparison of NMRI and NDVI, we repeated all calculations as follows. A second NMRI time series was produced for each site using only the data from days that had NDVI data. Linear interpolation was then applied to this NMRI time series. All statistics were calculated using this second NMRI time series. Results were very similar to what is shown below. We chose to use the undecimated NMRI phenology results, as these are more true to the data.

NMRI phenology metrics are defined with a threshold method (Figures 2(d) and (h), dashed line) that requires the data to remain above a fixed 25% of the seasonal amplitude for a minimum of 40 days (Jones et al. 2012). This threshold method was tested against a
Figure 2. Time series examples for sites p041 (CO) and p208 (CA). (a) and (e): NDVI data, including linear interpolation between observed values. NDVI phenology metrics are calculated from the interpolated data. (b) and (f): NMRI after removal of data on days with snow and heavy precipitation. Dashed-dotted lines show 95th and 5th percentile cut-offs for amplitude calculation, determined separately for each water-year. (c) and (g): NMRI time series after application of a five-day centred median filter. (d) and (h): Application of phenology analysis to NMRI time series. Dashed line is 25% cut-off value, which varies by year. Open circles show the interval above the 25% cut-off with duration greater than 40 days.
third derivative approach from an asymmetric Gaussian fitting routine in TIMESAT (Jönsson and Eklundh 2004). TIMESAT requires previous and following year data to pad the current year data. The threshold approach was not limited by padding, so we were able to compute metrics for five water-years, 2008–2012. Annual NDVI metrics are produced using an identical procedure.

Three phenology variables are considered: SOS, season length, and peak vegetation doy. The SOS or green-up date signifies the first pulse of greenness or increase in vegetation water content. SOS was determined to be when the NMRI or NDVI variable first crosses the 25% threshold (Figures 2(d) and (h), dashed line). The magnitude of the 25% threshold changes from year to year, according to the NMRI or NDVI values during that year.

The start and end of season dates depend on the threshold chosen. In some studies, a 50% threshold was used because the rate of change in greenness is greatest with this threshold (De Beurs 2008). Here, we used a 25% threshold (Figure 2), more indicative of a first growth pulse (e.g. Jones et al. 2012). We also required that the index stay above this threshold for 40 consecutive days (Figures 2(d) and (h), open circles), to exclude any short-duration events not part of the annual growth cycle. Season length is computed as the time between SOS and end of season or senescence when the index falls below the 25% threshold. Peak vegetation doy is determined from the annual maximum of the NDVI or NMRI index.

### 2.5.1. Uncertainty and sensitivity to parameters

We did not include 11 sites (out of 184) in the phenology analysis because of challenges faced when applying the method described above. At these sites, the index did not exceed the 25% threshold for 40 continuous days in all five years. We altered the threshold percentage and days above threshold, but results were similar. Thus, we present phenology metrics from only 173 sites.

### 2.6. Regional analysis

Clustering sites by region is useful for analysing phenological responses at the ecosystem level (White 2005; Hargrove and Hoffman 1999). Our sample GPS sites span five North American level-II ecoregions as defined by the Commission for Environmental Cooperation (Omernik 1987; US Environmental Protection Agency 2011). These subdivisions are made according to climate, hydrology, vegetation, wildlife, and land use/human activities (Wiken, Nava, and Griffith 2011). We renamed these five regions for clarity: Mountain (n = 25), Coast (n = 14), Prairie (n = 8), Desert (n = 38), and Mediterranean California (n = 99) (Figure 1). Some of the Mediterranean California sites are ‘coastal’ (see Figure 1 for details). Many of the stations (n = 99) fall within the Mediterranean California region, which includes coastal sage, chaparral, and oak woodlands. The Mediterranean California sites have been subdivided into land types of grassland (n = 66) and shrub (n = 33) based on evaluation of photographs and the MODIS MCD12Q1 500 m land-cover product using the IGBP classification scheme (Loveland et al. 2000). By clustering sites into land-cover regions, we highlight vegetation phenological responses to climate constraints and reduce the effects of spatial heterogeneity between sites.
3. Results

3.1. NMRI and NDVI amplitude

We compare the amplitude of NMRI and NDVI seasonal cycles in Figure 3. Each small point represents the five-year average from an individual site (2008–2012). As each index is normalized independently, the values are not expected to be equal. The amplitude of NMRI and NDVI is correlated across sites ($R = 0.56, p = 0.02$). To a first order, sites with the highest NDVI amplitude have the highest NMRI amplitude. These sites are primarily located in the Mediterranean California sites and mountain regions. The lowest NMRI and NDVI amplitudes are from desert sites. Others are intermediate. Few sites have low NDVI and high NMRI amplitude values. However, some sites do have high NDVI and low NMRI amplitude values, yielding the triangular pattern evident in Figure 3.

Regionally averaged NMRI and NDVI amplitudes cluster around the total population mean (Table 1), showing a similar pattern to the individual sites: Mediterranean California sites have the highest amplitudes (Figure 3). Regionally averaged NMRI and NDVI amplitude values from the coast region are not consistent: this region has the lowest NMRI amplitudes but only the second lowest NDVI values. This mismatch can also be seen for the individual coastal points. The likely source for this difference between NMRI and NDVI amplitudes from coastal sites is addressed in the discussion.

3.2. Correlation between NDVI and NMRI

The temporal fluctuations in NMRI and NDVI are generally correlated. However, the nature of the correlation varies from site to site. Examples of the correlation between NMRI and NDVI from three sites are presented in Figure 4. The sites differ in terms of climate and vegetation, and thus demonstrate the range of correlation patterns that exist.
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Figure 3. NMRI and NDVI average amplitude for 2008–2012 data series. Each point represents one of 184 sample sites. Filled points are averages for each region (see Table 1).
At site p041 in Marshall, Colorado, the NDVI and NMRI data pairs are strongly correlated ($R = 0.81$, $p = 0.03$) (Figure 4). NDVI and NMRI increase together during the spring (March through May) and decrease in the summer (see Figure 2 for time series). The timing and extent of seasonal growth vary from year to year, with similar changes for both NMRI and NDVI.

Prairie site p046 in Bonner, Montana has a lower correlation than p041 ($R = 0.76$, $p = 0.02$) because the springtime increases in NDVI and NMRI occur at different times (Figure 4, middle plot). NDVI increases in April and May while NMRI remains relatively constant. By early June, NMRI begins to increase more rapidly while NDVI remains nearly constant. For the remainder of the growing season, NMRI and NDVI co-vary.

A more extreme example of differences in the timing of NMRI and NDVI changes can be seen at site p208 in Williams, California ($R = 0.51$, $p = 0.04$) (Figure 4, and Figure 2 for time series). NDVI increases between January and March while NMRI remains constant. Then, NMRI increases during April and May while NDVI remains nearly constant. Both decrease together after senescence. Of the three example sites, this site has the lowest correlation value due to the marked hysteresis on the NMRI–NDVI plot.

The correlation between NMRI and NDVI varies greatly across the 184 sites, with $R$-values ranging from 0.00 to 0.88 (mean = $0.52 ± 0.2$) (Table 1). The degree of correlation varies from region to region (Figure 5, grey box-and-whisker plots). NMRI and NDVI co-vary most strongly in the mountain and prairie regions ($R$ is $0.66 ± 0.2$ and $0.73 ± 0.1$, respectively), which include sites in Montana, northern Utah, Idaho, Colorado, Wyoming, and eastern Oregon. These sites have the greatest temperature limitations on vegetation. Sites in the precipitation-limited Mediterranean California region, for example site p208 (Figure 4), tend to have lower correlations ($R = 0.48 ± 0.2$). Within this region, shrub sites exhibit lower NMRI–NDVI correlation values than grasslands (Table 1). The lowest correlations are found in the coastal region, including sites in western Oregon and Washington (coast: $R = 0.25 ± 0.2$).

Allowing for a lag between NMRI and NDVI increases the correlation between the two indices at most of the sites. As shown in Figure 4, NDVI tends to increase earlier in the spring than NMRI, with the magnitude of the lag varying by site. We calculated the lag that yields the greatest correlation at each site individually. The average lag across the 184 sites is 21 days. There are significant differences from region to region (Table 1). On average, sites in the mountain and prairie regions have the shortest lags, 9 and 10 days, respectively. The coast and Mediterranean California sites have the greatest lags (26 and 27 days). Figure 6 demonstrates that the regional differences in lag are spatially coherent.

**Table 1.** Mean NMRI and NDVI parameters by region. Mediterranean California has been further subdivided into grassland and shrub sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Population size</th>
<th>NMRI mean amplitude</th>
<th>NDVI mean amplitude</th>
<th>Mean $R$-value</th>
<th>Max. Mean $R$-value</th>
<th>Lag days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.15</td>
<td>21 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.16</td>
<td>9 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.15</td>
<td>26 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.05</td>
<td>10 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desert</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.16</td>
<td>15 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Med. CA</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.13</td>
<td>27 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.17</td>
<td>28 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.15</td>
<td>25 ± 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. NMRI–NDVI scatterplots for p041 (CO), p046 (MT), and p208 (CA). Each point represents one NDVI sample day on which NMRI data were also extracted for 2007–2012. Colours indicate date of observation. Dashed lines represent vegetation green-up and increasing water content while solid lines illustrate vegetation decline following senescence.
Figure 5. NDVI–NMRI correlations grouped by region. For each region, correlations without lag are in grey and with lags are in black. Plot displays outliers (+), median, quartiles, maximum, and minimum values. Inset histogram shows number of days yielding the maximum correlation. The highest lag days (>40 days) are found at coastal sites, both in the coast and Mediterranean California regions.

Figure 6. The left panel shows $R$-values with lags yielding the highest correlation. The right panel displays the number of lag days yielding the strongest correlation.
When allowing for site-specific lags, the average R-value for the correlation between NMRI and NDVI increases from 0.52 (no lag) to 0.70 (Figure 5, black box-and-whisker plots). In general, the gain in R due to introducing lags is similar from region to region, from 0.12 in the mountain region to 0.23 in the Mediterranean California region (Table 1). Thus, the highest and lowest R-values including lags are in the prairie and coast regions (0.85 ± 0.1 and 0.50 ± 0.2, respectively) (Table 1, Figure 6(a)). Overall, R-values and lag times are inversely correlated (Figure 6): maximum R-values are highest in the prairies and mountains, where lag days are the least. Minimum R-values are in the coast regions where lag days are the greatest.

### 3.3. Relationship between NMRI and NDVI phenology metrics

Phenology metric results are presented in Table 2. SOS date determined using NMRI is later or similar to NDVI, but almost never earlier (Table 2). This is consistent with the lag results presented above. On average, NDVI SOS precedes that of NMRI by 50 days (Table 2), but significant regional differences exist. NMRI SOS varies across the western USA as expected, given temperature and precipitation controls. SOS is earliest for Mediterranean California sites and latest for mountain and prairie sites (Table 2, Figure 7(a)). At Mediterranean California sites, NDVI SOS is 58 days earlier (55 days for grasslands and 62 days for shrub sites) than NMRI SOS. In contrast, NDVI SOS from prairie sites is only 15 days earlier than that determined from NMRI (Table 2). In almost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2008–2012</th>
<th>SOS (doy)</th>
<th>Season length (days)</th>
<th>Peak doy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NDVI Average</strong></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NMRI Average</strong></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mountain (n = 22)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMRI</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coast (n = 14)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMRI</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prairie (n = 8)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMRI</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Desert (n = 30)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMRI</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mediterranean California (n = 99)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>−15</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMRI</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grassland (n = 66)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMRI</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shrub (n = 33)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>−21</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMRI</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
all cases, the NDVI–NMRI difference in SOS is greater than the lag that yields the greatest correlation, by a factor of nearly two. This is the case because SOS depends only on timing of growth. In contrast, the calculated lag depends on both the timing of growth and senescence, the latter tending to be similar between NDVI and NMRI.
Season length results are similar to SOS results (not shown). Season length is longer when determined from NDVI than from NMRI, 169 days compared with 133 days when averaged across all sites (Table 2). The greatest differences in season length by region are for coast and Mediterranean California sites, while the lowest are for desert and mountain sites.

Similar to SOS dates, peak vegetation dates determined from NMRI are later or similar to NDVI, but almost never earlier. Averaged over all sites, peak day determined from NMRI is later by 31 days (Table 2). By region, NMRI–NDVI peak day differences are greatest for Mediterranean California sites (36 days) and least for mountain sites (9 days) (Figure 7(b) and Table 2). Peak day determined from NMRI varies across the western USA (Figure 7(c)). Sites in central California peak earliest, followed by sites along the coast, and then sites in the prairies and mountains. Peak vegetation date is most variable within the desert region, possibly because these sites vary greatly in temperature limitations depending upon latitude. NMRI–NDVI differences in peak day also vary across the western USA (Figure 7(d)). The greatest differences exist along the coast and for some of the desert sites.

In summary, phenology metrics extracted from the microwave NMRI record have a later SOS, later peak doy, and shorter season length than determined from optical NDVI data.

3.4. NMRI and NDVI seasonality metrics as a function of climatic variables

The effects of climate parameters on NMRI and NDVI SOS and peak doy are compared in Figure 8, and statistics are presented in Table 3. Mean annual temperature (MAT, °C) and peak doy are strongly correlated, using either NMRI \((R = -0.59, p = 0.04)\) or NDVI data \((R = -0.66, p = 0.03)\) (Figures 8(c) and (d)). The relationship is negative and approximately linear: as MAT decreases peak doy increases, with NMRI doy occurring slightly after NDVI peak doy. The link between MAT and SOS is not as strong for both indices \((R = -0.51, p = 0.02\) and \(-0.57, 0.01\), for NMRI and NDVI, respectively) (Table 3). As MAT decreases, NMRI SOS tends to increase. Season length and MAT are not significantly correlated, using either the microwave or the optical dataset. The relationships between mean annual precipitation (MAP, mm) and phenology metrics are not as strong as those using MAT. The only exception is that season length tends to increase with MAP (Table 3). The season length–MAP relationship is stronger using NDVI data \((R = 0.54, p = 0.02)\) than NMRI data \((R = 0.26, p = 0.03)\).

4. Discussion

The comparison of NMRI and NDVI data from 184 sites in the western USA yields the following: the SOS is earlier and the growing season is longer, according to optical NDVI data, than determined from microwave NMRI data. This result is supported by correlation lag analysis: correlation between NDVI and NMRI is much stronger when NMRI data are lagged. For both phenology metrics and correlation lag analysis, there are measurable regional differences between the timing of vegetation growth based on NDVI and NMRI. The greatest differences between the timing of seasonal growth cycles recorded by NDVI and NMRI are in Mediterranean California, where NDVI SOS is nearly 60 days earlier. In comparison, NDVI and NMRI fluctuations are more closely synchronized in the prairie and mountain regions, where differences are only ~10 days.
This general result is consistent with the following conceptual model. Initial greening of leaves and the onset of photosynthetic activity yields an increase in NDVI. NMRI is not affected by green-up because this microwave index is sensitive to vegetation water content. Photosynthetic activity and the resulting growth of leaves and stalks yield higher vegetation water content, which leads to an increase in NMRI. The lag between green-up and increased vegetation water content is greatest in the Mediterranean California region. In this area, wintertime precipitation yields green-up of vegetation following seasonal
drought. However, peak biomass and vegetation water content are observed approximately two months later. In the prairie and mountain regions, the green-up and increase in vegetation water content are more synchronized, as both occur in response to warming temperatures during springtime. We now discuss these regional differences in more detail.

The prairie and mountain region sites, as well as some desert sites, are located in regions with strong temperature limitations on vegetation growth including Montana, eastern Washington, eastern Oregon, and Idaho (Nemani et al. 2003). These sites have the highest $R$ correlation values between NMRI and NDVI. Phenology metrics calculated from NDVI yield a slightly earlier SOS and peak doy compared with those from NMRI. These differences are small compared with other regions. In these areas, low winter temperatures prevent vegetation growth until there is an increase in soil and air temperatures during spring (Nemani et al. 2003; Levitt 1980). Once thawing occurs and transpiration increases, the plant shunts water to existing leaves prior to new leaf construction (Waring and Running 1978). Our phenology results suggest that in these temperature-limited regions, green-up and increases in vegetation water content are largely synchronous, and occur later in the season than in regions constrained by insolation or precipitation. This result could be biased by the location of PBO sites in the region, which are located nearly exclusively in areas dominated by grasses.

Temperature limitations are not as important in the Mediterranean California region compared with the controls related to seasonal variations in precipitation. These sites have high NMRI and high NDVI seasonal amplitudes. The correlation between NDVI and NMRI is relatively low, particularly near the coast. This is due to the near two-month delay between early season increases in NDVI and NMRI. Correlation increases to maximum values with lags of ~30 days. In seasonally arid ecosystems, activation of photosynthetic material and resultant greening often occurs rapidly after initial precipitation events following seasonal drought (Huxman et al. 2004). However, this may not increase biomass immediately (Sala and Lauenroth 1982). This is especially true after small precipitation events that only wet the topmost centimetres of the soil profile (Kurc and Small 2004). Based on the NMRI records examined here, vegetation requires approximately two months of photosynthetic activity and plant growth before increases in vegetation water content are measurable.

Water limitations are even greater in the desert region, including sites in Nevada, eastern California, western Utah, and southern Idaho. These sites have low NMRI and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate variable</th>
<th>Phenology metric</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>$R$-value</th>
<th>$p$-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean annual temperature</td>
<td>SOS</td>
<td>NMRI</td>
<td>−0.51</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>−0.57</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Season length</td>
<td>NMRI</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peak doy</td>
<td>NMRI</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NDM</td>
<td>−0.66</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean annual precipitation</td>
<td>SOS</td>
<td>NMRI</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Season length</td>
<td>NMRI</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NDM</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peak doy</td>
<td>NMRI</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NDVI seasonal amplitude signals. The correlation between NMRI and NDVI is low, at least partly due to the small seasonal vegetation signals at these sites. Minimal vegetation growth yields noisy, low-amplitude signals, which minimize the utility of both the calculated phenology metrics and the correlation results.

Comparison of NMRI and NDVI from the northwest coastal region yielded problematic results. First, the coastal region sites have intermediate seasonal NDVI amplitudes but the lowest NMRI amplitudes. The correlation between NMRI and NDVI was very low compared with the other regions, both without and with inclusion of lags. In the coastal region, the NDVI signal is partially affected by forested areas within the sampling footprint. In forested areas, PBO GPS sites are located in clearings to minimize multipath from tall vegetation. Thus, the NMRI signal is sensitive to the grasses, shrubs, and small trees that dominate these clearings. The large and unexpected differences between NMRI and NDVI records from the coast region probably indicate differences in the sampling footprints of the two remote sensing approaches, rather than differences between NMRI and NDVI from the same vegetation. Therefore, care must be taken when using NMRI records to evaluate the scale at which the measurements are representative.

5. Conclusions

The microwave scattering index, NMRI, exhibits a seasonal cycle that is consistent with vegetation growth and senescence in non-forested ecosystems. Phenology determined from NMRI varies across the western USA, consistent with climate constraints. In locations with relatively high temperatures and cold season precipitation, the start of the season and timing of peak vegetation growth occur in winter and early spring, respectively. In contrast, the start of the season in prairie and mountain sites occurs in late spring and peak growth is observed during the summer.

NMRI is a continuous, all-weather, and close to real-time microwave measurement for monitoring vegetation status. The seasonal fluctuations in NMRI are consistent with those observed from NDVI, but clear differences exist at the regional scale. The observed differences between NMRI and NDVI are expected because the two indices are sensitive to different aspects of vegetation. Thus, phenologic information extracted from the microwave-based NMRI complements analyses based on optical indices such as NDVI. These new vegetation status measurements will probably advance monitoring of the phenology of ecosystem variables.

Acknowledgements

GPS data was provided by the Plate Boundary Observatory operated by UNAVCO for EarthScope and supported by NSF [EAR-0350028 and EAR-0732947].

Funding

This research was funded by EAR-0948957, AGS-0935725, EAR-1144221, and NNX12AK21G

Supplemental data

All GPS data used in this study are archived at UNAVCO. NMRI time series can be downloaded from http://xenon.colorado.edu/portal.
References


